

## An examination of the impact of strategic knowledge management from a manufacturing firm's performance point of view

ZHANG JIANJUN<sup>1</sup>, AMIYA BHAUMIK<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD Research Scholar in Nursing, Lincoln University College, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> President in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

### Abstract

A crucial concern for the progress of every society is the relationship between KM and medicine. Information creation and the identification of potential predictors of expertise behavior are crucial to the long-term success of any hospital's approach to intellectual capital. It has been suggested that managers might benefit from using KM practices in order to improve the efficiency of medical facilities such as hospitals. This paper's goal is to provide a reliable and accurate survey on KM in hospital environments. With the help of a comprehensive literature analysis and input from health experts, they create a new understanding survey. By conducting further

research, we were able to narrow down the AKMI measurements to their essential components and confirm that they had correctly identified the items. Finally, the phonetic features of the new instrument are discussed. The proposed survey seems valid, trustworthy, and appropriate for use in examining the nine identified elements of KM: views of KM, intrinsic and extrinsic motives, information integration and distribution, collaboration, leadership, corporate culture, and hurdles. Health system performance may be enhanced with the use of the proposed question by providing legislators and health workers with data about KM activities in health systems.

**KEYWORD:** Strategic Knowledge Management, Manufacturing Firm, Knowledge Implication, Business knowledge.

### INTRODUCTION:

Knowledge management has been more important in recent years as a means for businesses to gain an advantage in their industries. Researchers have been looking at many different aspects of knowledge management. Knowledge management strategy and the success of businesses has not been well researched as of now. Knowledge management is often linked to successful business strategies, however there is some conflicting evidence. According to research by, several forms of

corporate knowledge have been shown to have a negative effect on product development performance. However, most studies examining the impact of knowledge management on business outcomes have shown positive results. It's possible that the contradictory findings might be attributed to the fact that prior studies didn't investigate the factors that can mitigate the link between knowledge management strategy and strategic success at companies.

The term "management of knowledge" is used in the world of business to refer to a group of practises that are used by leaders to assist the gathering, development, and distribution of information in order to increase productivity and profitability. Knowledge management is the process of evaluating not just the value of past investments in the organization's knowledge but also the worth of potential future investments in that knowledge. When it comes to organising and disseminating their internal body of knowledge, some companies rely on formally structured methods such as databases or software, while others prefer to teach and learn from one another.

According to description, knowledge management involves a diverse assortment of different pursuits. These involve workers educating one another, learning from one another, and disseminating the best practises. According to, one opportunity for knowledge management is to gather tacit knowledge and put it to use in the practise of an organisation. Management may accept knowledge management as an organisational concept. It is essential to manage tacit and explicit information in various ways due to the fact that these types of information are gathered, generated, transmitted, and shared in different ways. In order to preserve knowledge as an asset, it must first be acquired, then generated, then transferred, and finally shared.

A corporation that first collects and examines data, and then bases its choices on that data, is said to be operating in the knowledge age. In order for businesses to be successful in the challenging economic environment of the present day, they need to learn from their past experiences rather of repeating the errors that got them into trouble in the first place. The ability of a company's executives to monitor the most recent advancements in their industry, integrate the new information they learn, and incorporate it into the organization's already substantial body of knowledge is critical to the success of the company's attempts to increase its knowledge base. Businesses may excel at benchmarking with industry leaders; firms can depend on strategic collaborations to gather knowledge resources required for their company; and firms can acquire external knowledge by doing research on past goods and acquiring important insights about the product. Conversations with customers and vendors may provide businesses with fresh insights into the sector, complementing the findings of their own internal research efforts.

The generation and spread of new knowledge are becoming more important factors in determining the level of economic success. Information is increasingly recognised as a valuable asset, both because it is imbedded in things and because it represents the tacit knowledge of highly mobile individuals. Although it is increasingly perceived as a commodity or intellectual asset, knowledge is distinguished from other commodities by a number of conflicting features that set it different from other commodities. As pointed out, the application of knowledge does not result in its loss,

and the transmission of knowledge does not result in its consumption. Makes the further observation that while there may be an abundance of information in any particular organisation, the ability to use it may be restricted, and that the majority of the relevant information is lost when employees leave the building at the end of the day.

It is essential to the success of a business that its workers be allowed to openly debate and share knowledge with one another inside the organisation. However, employees need an incentive to share their knowledge and experience. In point of fact, not all employees will willingly offer their experience without first considering the possible rewards and downsides of doing so and making their decision based on that analysis. Some people believe that the culture of an organisation is what enables its members to develop, acquire, distribute, and regulate information. This view has been put out by a number of different authors. In addition, organisational culture contributes to the development of a competitive advantage by establishing limits that make individual interaction simpler and/or restricting the scope of information processing to that which is really beneficial. Many people in authoritative positions are aware that interdependent behaviours such as teamwork, information sharing, and mutual support are the most important factors in determining one's level of success.

As a result, companies have an obligation to foster the culture that will enable them to transform, transfer, and utilise information in an efficient manner. **(Ying Liao, 2019).**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW:**

The purpose of this chapter is to conduct an analysis of relevant literature in order to get an understanding of how corporate strategy influences intellectual capital in strategic partnerships and how collaborative knowledge management influences the success of businesses. It may be broken down into three main components. In the first section, they will conduct a review of the research that was done on the organization's strategic orientation. It does this by drawing on a significant collection of studies in order to provide a comprehensive, standardized method for understanding the general direction of the organization. In the second section of this article, they will go over the knowledge-based viewpoint again. At the beginning, the expertise approach of strategy creation is presented, and after that, a straightforward comparison of the expertise view of strategic alliances with other theoretical viewpoints that investigate the same subject matter is presented. This article provides an in-depth review of studies comparing active learning versus knowledge generation as methods of organizational learning. In the conclusion, the researchers evaluate the bibliography of how organizational learning contributes to productive corporate operations.

### **Knowledge Management Strategy:**

According to the findings of the study, there are two distinct types of teams were able. The first approach pertains to "a integrated network that tends to concentrate on the dissemination and deploying expertise of the current company," while the general alternative is "the real economy

that relies on addressing needs for new information." In other words, "the first approach concentrates on information exchange and distribution, while the second approach concentrates on the invention of chemistry and mechanical of each type of knowledge." [Citation needed] In addition, knowledge may be split into two distinct categories: cognition and tacit and explicit. Both facets of expertise are essential to the functioning of the institution (McInemey,2019).

### **Strategic Orientation:**

In the world of business, the term "strategic orientation" refers to the overarching strategy that top-level management use to analyse and exert control over a company's internal and external surroundings in order to attain the highest possible level of success. It defines and displays how a corporation prioritizes the many stakeholders it considers in its strategic planning (customers, competitors, partners, employees). It addresses a broad variety of ideas that have an impact on the degree to which a business can compete in its industry. It makes it possible for companies to adopt a strategic attitude that the leadership of the company believes will lead to greater performance. Because of this, the culture of the firm is shaped by the way its workers and those from outside the organization should engage with one another. It is essential to a company's performance in the market because it provides workers with a feeling of "unified direction," which in turn contributes to the company's success. As a result, a strategic point of view is essential while discussing the subject of strategy formulation. In the pages that follow, I will go through the information that is already available about the market's overall trajectory (Hitt, 2016).

### **Strategic Orientation as a dimensional construct:**

An exhaustive assessment of the relevant literature was performed since determining the proper measures is an essential first step in taking a similar approach. [Citation needed] [Citation needed] On the basis of a survey of the existing literature, which included publications on strategic planning that were published in prestigious magazines and according to physician journals, it was determined that the factors that significantly impact institutional competitiveness can be broken down into three major strategic orientations of the company. These three key strategic directions are learning, governance, and alliances (also known as relationships).

The concept of collaborative interactions as an essential component of strategic planning is the focus of the first dimension (Contractor & Lorange, 2002). Since the focus of corporate objectives has shifted from brand building within individual companies or industries to trying to compete through the formation of friendships with other institutions, one of the most important issues for researchers and practitioners is to gain an understanding of organizational choice for the type of interplay within their alliances. The term "alliance orientation" refers to this component. The second dimension focuses on the behavioural patterns of the individuals who are responsible for developing, putting into action, and modifying strategic planning. This is the aspect that is commonly referred to as leaders, strategic leadership, top managers, dominant coalition, or the upper echelons. This aspect of the organization is referred to as its "leadership orientation," and it is one of its dimensions. The organization's preferred method of instruction is the subject of the

third and final dimension. It is generally accepted that businesses are cognitively businesses that proactively seek to make sense of the events occurring inside and around them. Various businesses each have their own unique approach to gaining an understanding of the environment in which they operate, sometimes referred to as a "learning style." The learning orientation of an organization is the focus of this aspect (Davis & Useem, 2019).

**Alliance Orientation:**

To get a market edge which can be achieved "in vacuum and can only be developed" by the company alone, many businesses engage into tactical partnerships with other companies. Alliances provide advantages over competing strategies, such as going it alone or engaging in short-term, ad hoc arrangements, such as market capital. These advantages include reduced costs associated with new product design, liberation from financial restraints, and access to information that might otherwise be unavailable. Alliance-focused businesses are more likely to forsake the autonomy of treating each deal as a unique "discrete exchange" whose outcome may be determined by purely objective factors like trading costs. Firms with an eye toward autonomy do certain tasks in-house while outsourcing others via hands-off partnerships. One of their core beliefs is that "costs are everything" in transactions. Also, these businesses are more likely to engage in vertical integration, whereby they use their own resources to perform operations rather than relying on outside suppliers. Alliance-oriented businesses, on the other hand, think that they can be more successful in the market if they form ties with other companies rather than trying to go it alone. Similarly, to the 18th-century New England philosopher Jonathan Edwards, they think that human beings have a social rather than an independent essence. Companies in the same industry may choose either a solitary path or a networked one; those who opt for the latter are not compelled to do so by structural elements of their sector, but rather make a conscious decision to prioritize collaboration over competition. Thus, a group's alliance approach is defined as its propensity to seek out, form, and oversee coalitions (a concept also known as "alliance competitiveness" and "alliance capacity" by Kale, Dyer, and Singh). When compared to corporations without an alliance orientation, those with one are more likely to form strategic partnerships with other businesses (Hunt, 2018).

**Leadership Orientation:**

An adaptive leader has an entrepreneurial mindset if it regularly pursues new opportunities, takes calculated risks, and aggressively competes in the marketplace. Command in a business may be thought of as the manager or the most powerful group inside that company. The leaders of any organization, no matter how large or little, are accountable for providing direction for the group's efforts and eliciting the participation of all members to accomplish the desired results. Leaders are always at work trying to make sense of group activities so that followers would voluntarily commit to and act in the best interests of the organization. Leaders who embrace change and innovation to get an edge over the competition, who are willing to take calculated risks in the sake of business, and who aren't afraid to go toe-to-toe with rival companies tend to do better. Variations among leadership on chairman has significant consequences for the market competitiveness of their

business because leaders deploy a leadership style that is specific to the conditions of their company and its business conditions. This implies that leaders may be inventive, proactive, and risk-taking, but there will be wide variation in how these traits are expressed depending on the leadership style in question (**Barringer, 2018**).

The research on strategic planning distinguishes between an innovative approach and a managers' leadership orientation. As opposed to the causality involved in administrative leadership, the effectuation type of leadership used by entrepreneurs requires a unique way of thinking, doing, and reasoning. Leaders with a managerial mindset employ a different strategy than their creative counterparts. In contrast to administrative leaders, entrepreneurs are more likely to rely on heuristics when making important decisions. Furthermore, they are more prone to make crucial choices with little data. As a result, entrepreneurial leaders often engage in non-linear and speculative thought processes to come up with novel solutions to problems. As a result, the competitiveness of a company is affected differently by those with an entrepreneurial mentality than by those with a bureaucratic one (**Michael, 2020**).

### **Learning Orientation:**

Knowledge acquisition is the readiness of an institution to make shifts in their manner of thinking about the major issues and problems confronting the company, even if such changes are stable. Each group is directed by the "mental schemas" that are held in common by its participants. The dominant psychological pattern, which is used by most participants and is sometimes alluded to as the "dominant logic" of the institution, gives the organization's staff a straightforward and effective way to think about the problems and obstacles that are currently being faced by the institution. The dominant logic serves as a filter for reading data, a lens for data interpretation, and an integrated structure for the existing organizational knowledge. These three tasks are interrelated and dependent on one another. It governs the majority of the institution's thinking and serves as the foundation for the many justifications, tacit models, and hidden narrative that may be found across the business. It creates what is known as a "intrinsic harmony" inside the business, making it possible for ideas that do not mesh with the culture to be reworked or flatly dismissed. Over the course of time, the firm's preexisting logic will gradually become more entrenched. The capacity to act independently and thoughtfully begins to deteriorate with time, and organizational choices begin to be made in a more routine and mechanical manner. The institution becomes "a physical hard imprint of an entity that was once living" as a result of the continual repetition of the prevailing logic and the incapacity to change. This fossilization of the institution occurs as a result of the inability to adapt. Because of this, it is essential for businesses to be able to make adjustments to the prevailing logic that now governs their operations through participating in educational process. Cognitive skills may take place in one of two modes, able to build up or self - learning, according to corporate scholars. Learning method is the more common of the two. A conceptual knowledge acquisition usually entails a 'double look' at the company by questioning the significance of company's governance norms, whereas learning method entails trying to detect and correcting errors in relation to a given set of existing norms.

**Knowledge-Based View:**

In the management literature, the expertise perspective has just started to gain traction as a robust and original theoretical model. To the expertise view, the reason firms exist is since they offer simplistic organization delivers to their customers. These capabilities enable customers to create more actually, share, exploit, and protect their understanding than they are able to do so with the limited and expensive judicial systems that are currently on the market. "Online networks" are whatthey call businesses, and they are the places where scattered information is turned "into commercially valuable products and services by the use of a set of greater work in a discipline." They have greater systems that allow them to generate, integrate, and apply data to company operations more effectively than their competitors. Therefore, the fact that academics believe education to be a key component in understanding the creation of corporations should not be a shock to anybody. According to the expertise approach, the factors that distinguish one company from another are structure that reflects differences in the operational processes. The basic challenge that any company must surmount is figuring out how to make the most effective use of the information that its personnel possess, but which is not provided to any one person in its entirety. To put it another way, company heterogeneity is the result of disparities amongst firms in how effectively they use widely distributed information that is accessible to the organization. Utilizing one's knowledge to generate economic rents might provide a company with a competitive advantage if the company is particularly skilled at managing its information.

**The Knowledge-Based View of Strategic Alliances:**

Since a few years ago, the number of interorganizational strategic alliances has quickly expanded all over the globe. This is due to the fact that an increasing number of businesses are starting to examine the benefits that come with developing partnerships with other businesses. Scholars have linked the phenomena of tactical interfirm connections to a variety of different conceptual approaches as a result of the phenomenon's appeal. Because of the many different fields of study that have given to our knowledge of merger and acquisition, it should not come as a surprise that the body of research on the subject is very disorganized. Over the course of the last several years, the awareness approach has started to develop as an integrative and separate conceptual framework for the purpose of explaining and understanding tactical collaborative alliances. [Citation needed] [Citation needed] It expands on the primary current theories that discuss strategic alliances, such as transaction cost economics (TCE), resource dependency theory (RDT), and resource-based perspective, and builds on such ideas as well as goes beyond them (RBV).

**Knowledge Acquisition:**

Since a few years ago, the number of interorganizational strategic alliances has quickly expanded all over the globe. This is since an increasing number of businesses are starting to examine the benefits that come with developing partnerships with other businesses. Scholars have linked the phenomena of tactical interfirm connections to a variety of different conceptual approaches as a result of the phenomenon's appeal. Because of the many different fields of study that have given

to our knowledge of merger and acquisition, it should not come as a surprise that the body of research on the subject is very disorganized. Over the course of the last several years, the awareness approach has started to develop as an integrative and separate conceptual framework for the purpose of explaining and understanding tactical collaborative alliances. [Citation needed] [Citation needed] It expands on the primary current theories that discuss strategic alliances, such as transaction cost economics (TCE), resource dependency theory (RDT), and resource-based perspective, and builds on such ideas as well as goes beyond them (RBV).

### **Knowledge Creation:**

Scientific knowledge from nothing, or ex-nihilo formation, across corporate borders is an underexplored area of collaborative organizational learning in strategic partnerships. This viewpoint emphasizes a specific kind of inter-organizational knowledge management in which two separate entities work together to develop expertise in a field in which neither was strong alone. At each stage, tacit information is transformed into explicit via a constant and cyclical process. Expertise is said to be "explicit" if it is capable of being "articulated" in a form that can be given access to at least certain other individuals through implies such as written or oral declarations, stories, doodles, illustrations, or other depictions and can be stored in measurable references such as data sets, booklets, papers, papers, etc. for return through numerous means of online or offline transfer. Take site mining software as an instance; it takes in data from many different places and combines it in novel ways to provide insights (like route and log analysis) that did not previously exist. They know more than they can say, yet the amount of information that can be put into words and statistics is merely the top of the iceberg.

### **Knowledge-based view: From looking in the rear-view mirror to looking ahead:**

Existing literature on knowledge management often views information as a social construct based on individuals' own interpretations of the past. Understanding that knowledge is inherently relative and a product of social construction has been acknowledged. When it comes to understanding what happened in the past, everyone has their own unique perspective, based on their own interpretation of the events that took place. As a result, there is a need for consensus and agreement in the social formation of knowledge. In any case, create new knowledge not just as a result of better comprehending the past but also as a result of imaginatively seeing the possibilities of the future. For instance, the commercial VCR industry's growth may be credited to scientists' expertise with both existing knowledge subjects and their creative conception of future knowledge domains. General Sarnoff, the CEO of RCA, had the inspired notion of "a television image recorder that would record the visual signals of broadcast on an affordable tape," which inspired early investigation into VCRs. The researcher behave in the here and now based on not just what they have learned from the past, but also on what they anticipate will occur in the future.

### **Knowledge Management and Organizational Performance:**



One of the most useful contributions of the knowledge-based perspective to corporate strategy would be to establish a connection between knowledge and behavior. However, this is the exact area where the state of the art leaves the most to be desired. Most studies either gloss over performance entirely or suggest only that certain knowledge management functions (such as knowledge production and acquisition) would boost company performance and aid in the development of a competitive advantage. Ordonez de Pablo used a questionnaire to examine the perspectives of executives (Managing directors, KM officers, or HR directors) in the Spanish industrial sector, and he discovered that senior managers judged their performances differently based on their knowing methodology. Gold, Malhotra, and Segars also investigated the connection between company's opinion of knowledge and performance. The researchers discovered that high-level executives' opinions on their company's knowledge management capabilities were positively correlated with their opinions on the institution's ability to spot new business opportunities, collaborate on the creation of new innovations across departments, bring those innovations to market quickly, adapt to shifts in the market, maximize efficiency, and more.

**CONCLUSION:**

Information company's internal procedures are significantly impacted by business management in meaningful ways. The companies have a responsibility to foster the circumstances that will enable their workers to successfully contribute to the growth of the knowledge management system. Because of the beneficial effects that organisation culture, heritage, governance, and trust have on intellectual capital, it is possible to draw the conclusion that existing hierarchical plans do not meet current requirements, and that foundational modifications are required in the frameworks of organizations. The informal interactions between employees are a significant factor in the overall formation of the organizational structure. In knowledge-based companies, the aspects of design that are determined by causal links are the ones that are most important in making the distinction between machine and organic structures. Contact must not be restricted to teams, departments, or even organizational borders; instead, the organizational structure must be sufficiently fluid and dynamic to allow for smooth communication between workers and the environment outside of the company. To put it another way, the informal aspects of organizational structures play a crucial part in the process of developing contacts. Because of this, it is recommended that businesses evaluate the capabilities of their various components in order to outsource possible tasks to staff. This will, on the one hand, significantly raise the capacity of the staff and, on the other hand, break down the obstacles of official bureaucracy and organisation power relationships, which are barriers that hinder the advancement of talent and the fair and just information dissemination.

There are six different pieces of advice that may be given to managers of information and leaders: (1) Leaders may use knowledge tools and programs to encourage a specific change in culture; however, doing so requires patience and tenacity as well as the use of a broad variety of methodologies and techniques that are backed by a clear acceptable reasoning.

- (2) It is advised that persons who can become role models and who have the proper attitudes be supported and promoted to boost local influence. These individuals should have the relevant attitudes.
- (3) The use of technology may make the process of adjusting to a new culture easier, but only if it is accompanied with instruction and training that ensures new behaviors will be adopted by individuals in their day-to-day activities.
- (4) In accordance with the fundamental ideas underlying cultural transformation, a program for knowledge management may be provided. The process of removing roadblocks to performance development is highly appreciated; yet this does not alter the long-term practices, conventions, or assumptions that comprise the culture of the business.
- (5) If the organizational culture must be altered, a formal assessment needs to be carried out to determine which aspects of the culture need altering and why.
- (6) It is not advisable to engage in activities or seek guidance that are short-term in nature in order to change deeply ingrained ideas and values.

**REFERENCE:**

- Ying Liao, Robert S., & Norton, David P. 2019. *The Execution Premium: Linking Strategy to Operations for Competitive Advantage*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Tsang, Stuart. 2020. *The Origins of Order: Self-organization and Selection in Evolution*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Denisi, Stylianos, & Kovach, Jeremy. 2019 *Innovation at Cooper Lighting 2.0: Continuous Improvement of the Innovation Process?* Atlanta, GA: Working Case at Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Virtainlahti, Barbara W, & Hitt, Michael A. 2019 A causal model of linkages among environmental dimensions, macro organizational characteristics, and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, .
- Hislop, Sunder, & Srinivasan, Kannan. 2017. Broader product line: a necessity to achieve success? *Management Science*.
- Tang, Mikko, & Jokinen, Mikko. 2021. Strategy, uncertainty and the focused factory in international process manufacturing. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Hasan Alizar, Naveen, & Poulsen, Annette B. 2018. Managers of financially distressed firms: villains or scapegoats? *The Journal of Finance*.
- Serrat, W Chan, Hwang, Peter, & Burgers, Willem P. 2020. Multinationals' diversification and the risk-return trade-off. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Ferraresi, Jean-Jacques, & Martimort, David. 2021. *The Theory of Incentives I: The Principal-Agent Model*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Lal, Rajiv, & Srinivasan, V. 1993. Compensation Plans for Single- and Multi-product Salesforces: An Application of the Holmstrom-Milgrom Model. *Management Science*.

- Abuabbous, Joseph, & Giachetti, Claudio. 2018. International diversification on manufacturing operations: Performance implications and moderating forces. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Jacson, Christoph H., & Bode-Greuel, Kerstin. 2017. Evaluating growth options as sources of value for pharmaceutical research projects. *R&D Management*.
- Olusanva, Christoph H., & Kavadias, Stylianos. 2021. Implementing strategy through projects. Pages 225–251 of: Morris, Peter W. G., Pinto, Jeffrey K., & Soderlund, Jonas (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Project Management*. Oxford: Oxford University.
- Tseng, Christoph H., & Tapper, U.A. Steffan. 2020. Implementing a strategy-driven performance measurement system for an applied research group. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*.
- Hrebiniak, Christoph H., & Terwiesch, Christian. 2018. *Handbook of New Product Development Management*. Ch: Coordination and Information Exchange: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Drucker, Celine, & Llerena, Daniel. 2020. Green Consumer Behaviour: an Experimental Analysis of Willingness to Pay for Remanufactured Products. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
- Ali, Jurgen. 2019. Incentives in New Product Development Projects and the Role of Target Costing. *Management Science*.
- Sawy, Paul, & Roberts, John. 2021. Rationalizability, Learning, and Equilibrium in Games with Strategic Complementarities. *Econometrica*.
- Edward, C. Chet, ogilvie, dt, & Glick, William H. 2019. Assessing the external environment: an enrichment of the archival tradition. *Research Methodology in Strategy and Management*.
- Gupta, Yuri, Pollock, Timothy G, & Porac, Joseph F. 2020. Are more resources always better for growth? Resource stickiness in market and product expansion. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Liao, Sanjog, Nair, Harikesh S, & Daljord, Øystein. 2018. Homogenous Contracts for Heterogeneous Agents: Aligning Salesforce Composition and Compensation. Working Paper.
- Saisuthanawit, Mark, & Krause, Daniel R. 2018. Re-exploring the relationship between flexibility and the external environment. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Davenport, Krishna. 2020. Diversification Strategy, Profit Performance, and the Entropy Measure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 6(3), 239–255.
- Lioria, Leslie E., Cardinal, Laura B., & Miller, C. Chet. 2018. Curvilinearity in the Diversification-Performance Linkage: An Examination of Over Three Decades of Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(2), 155–174.
- Al-Ali, Cerag, Ferguson, Mark, & Toktay, L. Beril. 2018. Remanufacturing under Warranty and Consumer Returns. Working Paper.
- Branes, Dirk. 2020. Project Management for New Product Development. *Project Management Journal*.

- Storey, Michael. 2019 *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Kruger, Gongming, Khoury, Theodore A., Peng, Mike W., & Qian, Zhengming. 2017 *The Performance Implications of Intra- And Inter-Regional Geographic Diversification*. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Schutz, Kamalini. 2020. *Managing Product Variety: An Integrative Review and Research Directions*. *Production and Operations Management*.
- Musshref, J, & Wally, Stefan. 2019. *Strategic decision speed and firm performance*. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Cragallo Castel, Julio J., & Saloner, Garth. 2019. *Benefits of Narrow Business Strategies*. *The American Economic Review*.
- Kruger, Caroline. 2021. *Datamonitor Analyst: Product failure is the Area 51 of the food industry*.
- Ratique, David G, Hitt, Michael A, & Ireland, R Duane. 2018. *Managing firm resources in dynamic environments to create value: Looking inside the black box*. *Academy of Management Review*.
- Janepuengporn, Wickham. 2019. *Manufacturing - missing link in corporate strategy*. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Sokkar, Wickham. 2017. *The focused factory*. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Doate, Shara. 2020. *Intel Cuts Its Outlook; Giant Blames Thai Flood for \$1 Billion Drop in Sales Goal*. *The Wall Street Journal*.
- Muhammad, Bob. 2021. *Deere Bets Big on Expanding Global Demand*. *The Wall Street Journal*.
- Ray, Honnold, Vincent, & Wise, Jeremy. 2018. *Remanufactured Goods: An Overview of the U.S. and Global Industries, Markets, and Trade*.
- Wheelen, Stephen. 2016. *Advertising with subjective horizontal and vertical product differentiation*. *Review of Industrial Organization*.
- McInemey, C. (2019). *Knowledge Management and the Dynamic Nature of Knowledge*. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*.
- 53(12), 1009-1018.
- Hansen, M. and Haas, M. (2018). *Different Knowledge, Different Benefits: Toward A Productivity Perspective On Knowledge Sharing In Organizations*. *Academy of Management Proceeding*.
- Schulz, M. and Lobe, A. 1. (2018). *Codification and Tacitness As K M Strategies An Empirical Exploration*. *Journal of High Technology Management Research* 12,139-165.
- Neely, A. (2019). *Defining Performance Measurement: Adding to the Debate*. *Performance Measurement Association*, 4(2), 14-15.
- Keskin, H. (2020). *The Relationships Between Explicit and Tacit Oriented K M Strategy, and Firm Performance*. *Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge*. ProQuest

Central pg. 169

- Bapuji, H. and Crossan, M. (2021). From Raising Questions to Providing Answers: Reviewing Organizational Learning Research. *Management Learning*, 35(4): 397-417.
- Misterek, S.D.A., Schroeder, R.G. and Bates, K.A., The nature of the link between manufacturing strategy and organizational culture. In *Manufacturing Strategy: Process and Content*, edited by C.A. Voss, pp. 331–352, 2016 (London: Chapman & Hall).
- Beckett, A.J., Wainwright, C.E.R. and Bance, D., Implementing an industrial continuous improvement system: A knowledge management case study. *Industr. Manage. Data Syst.*, 2000, 100, 330–338.
- Hitt, M. A., Dacin, M. T., Tyler, A. L., & Park, D. 1997. Understanding the differences in Korean and U.S. executives' strategic orientations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18: 159-167.
- McKelvey, B. 1975. Guidelines for the empirical classification of organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 20: 509-525.
- Venkatraman, N. 2015. Strategic orientation of business enterprises: the construct, dimensionality, and measurement. *Management Science*, 35: 942-962.
- Davis, G. F., & Useem, M. 2019. Top management, company directors, and corporate control. In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. London: Sage.
- Grewal, R., & Tansuhaj, P. 2017. Building organizational capabilities for managing economic crisis: The role of market orientation and strategic flexibility. *Journal of Marketing*, 65: 67-80.
- Chun, R. 2016. European Journal of Marketing, Special edition: 'Corporate identity and corporate marketing'. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 4(3): 276-283.
- Covin, J. G., & Slevin, D. P. 2018. A conceptual model of entrepreneurship as firm behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*(Fall): 7-25.
- Hunt, S. D., & Lambe, C. J. 2018. Marketing's contribution to business strategy: Market orientation, relationship marketing and resource-advantage theory. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 2(1):
- McAdam, R. 2015. Knowledge management as a catalyst for innovation within organizations: A qualitative study. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 7(4): 233- 241.
- Hennart, J.-F. 2017. A transaction costs theory of joint ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 9: 361-374.
- Dyer, J. H., & Nobeoka, K. 2019. Creating and managing a high-performance knowledge-sharing network: The Toyota case. *Strategic Management Journal*,
- Alavi, P.M. Integration of health and social care: A case of learning and knowledge management. *Health Soc. Care Community* 2020,
- Das, T. K., & Teng, B.-S. 2020. The dynamics of alliance conditions in the alliance development process. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39: 725-746.

- Kogut, B. 2018. The network as knowledge: Generative rules and the emergence of structure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(3): 405-425.
- Barringer, B. R., & Harrison, J. S. 2018. Walking a tightrope: Creating value through interorganizational relationships. *Journal of Management*, 26: 367-403.
- Michael, S., Storey, D., & Thomas, H. 2020. Discovery and coordination in strategic management and entrepreneurship. In M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, S. M. Camp, & D. L. Sexton (Eds.), *Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers Ltd.
- Sarasvathy, S. D., Dew, N., Velamuri, R., & Venkataraman, S. 2016. Three Views of entrepreneurial opportunity. In Z. J. Acs, & D. B. Audretsch (Eds.), *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research: An Interdisciplinary Survey and Introduction*: 141-160. Norwell, MA: Kluwer.
- Miller, K. D. 2017. Knowledge inventories and managerial myopia. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(8): 689-706.
- Argyris, C., & Schon, D. A. 2017. *Organizational Learning: A Theory of Action Perspective*: Addison-Wesley.
- Alamsjah, F. (2020) Key Success Factors in Implementing Strategy: Middle-Level Managers' Perspectives. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 24, 1444-1450
- Lukas, B. A., & Ferrell, O. C. 2019. The effect of market orientation on product innovation. *Journal of the Academy of Market Science*, 28: 239-247.
- Fiol, C. M. 2016. Revisiting an identity-based view of sustainable competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 27: 691-699.
- Peters, T. 2017. *Liberation Management*. New York: Pan Books.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Santos, F. M. 2019. Knowledge-based view: A new theory of strategy? In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Madhavan, R., Gynawali, D. R., & He, J. 2017. Two's company, three's a crowd? Triads in cooperative-competitive networks. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6): 918- 927.
- Inkpen, A. C. 2018. Learning, knowledge management, and strategic alliances: So many studies, so many unanswered questions. In F. J. Contractor, & P. Lorange (Eds.), *Cooperative Strategies and Alliances*: 267-290. Amsterdam: Pergamon.
- Lei, D. 2019. Competence-building, technology fusion and competitive advantage. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 14(4): 208-237.
- Nonaka, I., Reinmoller, P., & Toyama, R. 2018. Integrated information technology systems for knowledge creation. In M. Dierkes, A. B. Antal, J. Child, & I. Nonaka (Eds.), *Handbook of Organizational Learning and Knowledge*: 827-848. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Patriotta, G. 2019. On studying organizational knowledge. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 2: 3-12

**AN EXAMINATION OF THE IMPACT OF STRATEGIC KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT FROM A  
MANUFACTURING FIRM'S PERFORMANCE POINT OF VIEW**

---

- Ford, C. M. 2020. The futurity of decisions as a facilitator of organizational creativity and change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 15(6): 635-646.
- Tanriverdi, H. 2019. Information technology relatedness, knowledge management capability, and performance of multibusiness firms. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(2): 311-334.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Santos, F. M. 2019. Knowledge-based view: A new theory of strategy? In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Barney, J. (2017), "Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage", *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 99-120.
- Heisig, P., Suraj, O.A., Kianto, A., Kemboi, C., Arrau, G.P. and Easa, N.F. (2016), "Knowledge management and business performance: global experts' views on future research needs", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 20 No. 6.
- Patterson, W. and Ambrosini, V. (2017), "Configuring absorptive capacity as a key process for research intensive firms", *Technovation*, Vols 36/37.
- Hrebiniak, L. G. (2006). *Obstacles to Strategy Implementation*. *Organizational Dynamics*, 35(1)
- Davenport, T., D.W. De Long, and M.C. Beers, *Successful Knowledge Management Projects*. *Sloan Management Review*, Winter 2017:
- Hill, C.W.L. and G.R. Jones, *Strategic Management: An Integrated Approach*. 5th Edition ed. 2018, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Bierly, P. and A. Chakrabarti, *Generic Knowledge Strategies in the U.S. Pharmaceutical Industry*, in *Knowledge and Strategy*, M.H. Zack, Editor. 2017, Butterworth Heinemann: Boston.
- Choi, B. and H. Lee, *An Empirical Investigation of KM Styles and Their Effects on Corporate Performance*. *Information & Management*, 2018(in press).