



THE SOCIO-POLITICAL TRAJECTORY OF AGRARIAN DEVELOPMENT IN COLONIAL AND POST-COLONIAL KENYA

¹Dr. Peter K. Wekesa, ²Prof. Mildred Atieno Oduor

¹Department of History, Archaeology and Political Studies, Kenyatta University, Kenya

²School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Maseno University, Kenya

ABSTRACT

This article examines the historical trajectory of agrarian development in Kenya, focusing on the enduring legacy of colonial policies on land tenure, agricultural practices, and socio-economic structures. Utilizing a historical and analytical approach, the study synthesizes archival records and academic literature to analyze the mechanisms through which colonial administration created and perpetuated regional inequalities and a dualistic agricultural economy. We trace the shift from large-scale European settler farms to the rise of smallholder tea production, highlighting how these developments were driven by complex political and economic motives. The findings demonstrate that colonial policies fundamentally restructured traditional systems, disrupted social values, and marginalized certain populations, particularly women. The discussion underscores the importance of this historical context for understanding contemporary challenges in Kenya's political economy. The article concludes that addressing modern rural development issues necessitates a confrontation with the historical roots of structural inequality.

Keywords: Agrarian Development, Colonial Kenya, Land Tenure, Smallholder Agriculture, Political Economy, Historical Inequality.

INTRODUCTION

The history of modern Kenya is inextricably linked to the complex and often violent narrative of its land. For centuries prior to European arrival, agrarian societies across the region, from the pastoral Maasai to the agricultural Kikuyu and Luhya, had developed sophisticated systems of land tenure, usage, and social organization that were deeply embedded in their cultural and political identities. These systems were not static but evolved through intricate customary laws, communal arrangements, and local power structures, where land was primarily viewed as a communal resource, a source of sustenance, and a sacred connection to ancestry, rather than a commodity to be privately owned and traded. This pre-colonial context, characterized by diverse and self-sufficient farming communities, provides the crucial backdrop against which the profound and disruptive changes of the colonial era must be understood.

The arrival of the British in the late 19th and early 20th centuries marked a seismic shift, fundamentally re-imagining and restructuring Kenyan society with agrarian policy as its central

tool. The core of the colonial project was the establishment of a settler-based economy in the fertile highlands, an area that became known as the "White Highlands." This process was predicated on a legal and military conquest of land, facilitated by legislative instruments such as the Crown Lands Ordinance of 1915, which declared vast swathes of the most productive land to be "Crown land," effectively dispossessing the indigenous population and paving the way for European settlement. This act of land alienation was not merely an economic maneuver; it was a deeply socio-political one, designed to dismantle existing African power structures, suppress resistance, and create a dependent African labor force. The establishment of "Native Reserves" further codified this racialized geography, confining African populations to marginal lands and disrupting traditional agricultural practices. The colonial administration then deliberately suppressed African participation in the cultivation of high-value cash crops like coffee and tea, reserving these lucrative markets for European settlers and cementing a system of economic apartheid.

This colonial agrarian framework had a twofold consequence. First, it engineered a deeply unequal economic landscape, where a small minority of European settlers controlled the most productive agricultural lands and reaped the lion's share of profits, while the majority African population was relegated to overcrowded and under-resourced reserves. Second, and perhaps more enduringly, it created a potent socio-political fault line. The struggle for land became synonymous with the struggle for dignity, economic liberation, and political self-determination. The Mau Mau Uprising of the 1950s, often framed as a violent insurgency against colonial rule, was at its heart a desperate and militant response to this land-based oppression. The movement's core grievances, articulated through oaths and propaganda, centered on the return of alienated lands and the restoration of a pre-colonial sense of belonging and ownership. This struggle, therefore, forged a powerful link between land reform and the very essence of national liberation, an association that would shape the political priorities and debates of the post-independence period.

The legacy of this colonial inheritance did not dissolve with the lowering of the Union Jack. Upon independence in 1963, the new Kenyan government, led by Jomo Kenyatta, faced a monumental challenge: how to redress the deep-seated inequalities of the colonial land system without destabilizing the new nation's fragile economy and political order. The promise of land redistribution, a central tenet of the independence struggle, was a powerful tool of political mobilization, yet its implementation was complicated by several factors. The post-colonial government opted for a "willing buyer, willing seller" model, which largely preserved the existing land ownership structure and benefited a new African elite who could afford to purchase large farms, often with state assistance. This approach, while seemingly pragmatic, effectively replaced European landholding with an African one, leaving millions of landless peasants and former occupants of the White Highlands feeling betrayed. It shifted the locus of power but not the fundamental structure of land inequality.

This article, therefore, will argue that the colonial-era policies of land alienation and agricultural stratification fundamentally distorted Kenya's agrarian landscape, creating enduring socio-political tensions that persist in the post-colonial era, manifesting as land disputes, economic inequality, and political instability. We will trace this trajectory in three main parts. First, we will examine the pre-colonial agrarian systems to establish the baseline from which change occurred, and then analyze the deliberate and systematic destruction of these systems by the British colonial administration, focusing on the legal, economic, and

social mechanisms used to establish the settler economy. Second, the article will explore the immediate and long-term consequences of this colonial engineering, including the development of a stratified society, the politicization of land, and the eruption of anti-colonial resistance. Finally, we will turn our attention to the post-colonial period, scrutinizing how the new Kenyan state grappled with—or failed to grapple with—the colonial legacy of land inequality. This section will analyze the land redistribution programs, the rise of a land-owning elite, and the recurring ethnic and political conflicts that have been fueled by competition for a finite and unequally distributed resource. Through this detailed analysis, we aim to demonstrate that understanding Kenya's contemporary political and social challenges—from ethnic conflict to democratic instability—requires a deep and critical examination of its agrarian past. The story of Kenya's land is not just an economic tale of agriculture; it is a profound political saga of power, identity, and the lingering scars of colonial rule.

METHODS

This study employs a historical and analytical approach, synthesizing information from a diverse body of literature and archival sources. The primary sources of data include academic books, journal articles, and historical documents from the Kenya National Archives. The methodology involves a systematic review of these materials to identify key themes and patterns in agrarian development.

The analysis is structured to first establish the foundational context of colonial land policies and their immediate effects. This is followed by an examination of the shift towards smallholder agriculture and the specific case of tea production. The final stage involves a synthesis of these findings to draw conclusions about the long-term implications of these historical processes on Kenya's political economy. Each claim and observation within the article is substantiated with a direct citation from the provided reference list, ensuring the integrity and traceability of the research.

RESULTS

Colonial Policies and the Restructuring of Land Tenure

Colonial land policies were instrumental in creating a dualistic agricultural economy in Kenya (2,12,39). The Land Ordinance, for instance, regulated the use of land, and the Kenya Land Commission's report of 1933 formalized the allocation of vast tracts of land to European settlers, effectively dispossessing many African communities and confining them to native reserves (14,29,32,36). This process was not without resistance, as documented in studies on squatters and the Mau Mau rebellion (13). Historical records from the Kericho District, for example, show systematic documentation of land tenure and its transformation under colonial rule (15,16). This period also saw the introduction of new crop varieties and farming techniques, primarily for the benefit of the settler economy (1).

The settler response to various crises, such as the Indian crisis of 1923, further illustrates the political dynamics at play, where land and economic control were central to colonial power (8). By the mid-20th century, annual reports from districts like South Lumbwa (Kericho) highlight the administrative focus on managing these new agrarian structures and the populations within them (17,19). The political records from the time also reveal a growing tension between colonial authorities and African populations over land and economic opportunity (19). The pivotal Swynnerton Plan of 1954 marked a significant policy shift,

advocating for the intensification of African agriculture and the inclusion of smallholders in cash crop production (38).

The Rise of Smallholder Tea Production

While colonial policy initially favored large-scale European plantations, a shift began to occur that allowed for limited African participation in cash crop production. Tea, in particular, became a significant commodity for smallholder farmers (5,27,28). This development was seen as a way to engage African communities in the cash economy, but it was carefully controlled by the colonial administration (10,20). Archival records and newspaper articles from the 1960s provide insight into this transition, with the East African Standard documenting the expansion of tea development into African areas (10).

The Kimulot pilot scheme in 1954 served as a key demonstration of African tea cultivation viability (22), with subsequent expansion into Nyanza Province and other areas (24). Colonial officials like Mr. Gambie and C.W. Barwell played key roles in organizing the tea block system and supervising early African planting (44, Appendix C).

The introduction of smallholder tea farming, however, did not erase existing regional inequalities. Studies have shown that the distribution of resources and opportunities remained uneven, leading to persistent disparities in smallholder agriculture across different regions of Kenya (12). The challenges faced by these farmers, including issues of production and marketing, were significant (5). This shift also contributed to the making of an African petite bourgeoisie, a new class with a vested interest in the market economy (26).

Social and Economic Consequences

The colonial era's agrarian policies had profound social and economic consequences. The disruption of traditional pastoral values and social structures is a notable example, as seen among communities like the Kipsigis (6,15,33,40). Furthermore, the role of women in agriculture was often overlooked or redefined. Despite their crucial labor, colonial policies and new farming structures often limited their control over land and resources (7,30,35).

The gendered aspects of contract farming, a system central to smallholder tea production, have been shown to impact women's participation and economic empowerment (42). The political and economic framework of agrarian development in this period laid the groundwork for the persistent challenge of participation and accountability in post-colonial development management (4). The study of household livelihoods in transition in Kericho further illustrates the complex social changes brought about by the shift to a cash-crop economy (25).

DISCUSSION

The findings presented here underscore the critical role of historical context in understanding Kenya's agrarian economy. The colonial-era restructuring of land tenure and agricultural production created an enduring system of regional inequalities and power imbalances. This is a central theme supported by scholars like Robert Bates, who provides a theoretical framework for understanding how these historical choices were not merely economic but deeply political, driven by the interests of those in power (2).

This perspective is further supported by analyses of colonial capitalism (41), which highlight how labor and production were organized to serve metropolitan interests, and by Douglass North's work on institutional change, which explains how the colonial legal and administrative

frameworks created a path dependency for later economic performance (31).

The case of smallholder tea production serves as a microcosm of this complex process. While it can be viewed as a form of economic inclusion for African farmers, the details of its implementation reveal the colonial administration's continued desire for control. The Swynnerton Plan (38), though presented as a progressive policy, was designed to manage a restive population and intensify agricultural development in a way that benefited the colonial state. The archival records detailing specific pilot schemes, such as the Kimulot tea scheme (22) and the expansion into Nyanza Province (24), illustrate the top-down, controlled nature of this development. This contrasts sharply with the on-the-ground experiences and local knowledge documented in oral histories of communities like the Kipsigis (40,33).

A nuanced understanding also requires a closer look at the social ramifications. The agrarian changes did not affect all members of society equally. The extensive labor demands and the gendered division of work in cash crop farming, as highlighted by Mackenzie (30) and Sorensen (35,42), show that women's roles were often redefined and their access to land and economic power remained limited, even as they became central to the production process. The emergence of a new African petite bourgeoisie, as Kitching (26) describes, also signals a deepening of social stratification, where some individuals and groups were able to leverage these new economic opportunities while others were left behind.

This historical trajectory thus helps explain why issues of land reform, economic disparity, and political accountability have remained central to Kenya's post-independence development discourse (4). The enduring legacy of these colonial-era institutions and policies, from the legal frameworks governing land ownership (32) to the political dynamics of market access, continue to shape the nation's political economy today.

CONCLUSION

This analysis has demonstrated that agrarian development in Kenya cannot be understood in isolation from its colonial origins. The policies of land appropriation, the deliberate creation of regional inequalities, and the managed—rather than autonomous—integration of African farmers into the cash economy left a complex and enduring legacy. While the rise of smallholder agriculture, particularly tea, provided some economic opportunities and contributed to the formation of a new African petite bourgeoisie (26), it did so within a framework that perpetuated structural inequalities.

The institutions and power dynamics established during this period, such as the evolution of agrarian law (32), continue to influence contemporary debates surrounding land tenure, wealth distribution, and political accountability in modern Kenya (4,25). The historical trajectory we have explored reveals a critical paradox: economic development under colonialism was often achieved at the cost of social equity.

The transition to smallholder farming was not a simple act of empowerment; it was a complex process guided by colonial interests to stabilize the economy and manage political dissent. The consequences of this approach are still felt today in the uneven distribution of land and resources, and in the political struggles that frequently center on agrarian issues.

Therefore, to address the persistent challenges in rural development and socio-economic equity, it is essential for policymakers and scholars to recognize and confront the historical roots of these problems. Merely focusing on modern-day symptoms without acknowledging

the foundational historical context is an incomplete approach.

Future research could therefore benefit from exploring the long-term intergenerational effects of these colonial policies on wealth and educational attainment. A comparative analysis of land reform efforts in Kenya versus other former colonies could also yield valuable insights into what constitutes a more just and effective post-colonial agrarian transition. Additionally, a deeper examination of the evolving role of women in agriculture and their access to resources and decision-making power in the post-colonial era, building on the work of Davison (7), Mackenzie (30), and Sorensen (35,42), would be a critical area of inquiry to fully understand the socio-economic impact of these historical changes.

In essence, the past is not merely a backdrop but an active force that continues to shape the present, and any meaningful effort to foster sustainable and equitable development must begin with this understanding.

REFERENCES

1. Acland, H. (1971). *East African crops*. Longman.
2. Bates, R. H. (1989). *Beyond the miracle of the market: The political economy of agrarian development in Kenya*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Berman, B. J. (1990). *Control and crisis in colonial Kenya*. Heinemann Publishers.
4. Brett, E. A. (2003). Participation and accountability in development management. *Journal of Development Studies*, 40(2), 1-29.
5. Chesang, G. (2009). Smallholder tea production and marketing in Kenya: A historical overview. *African Economic History*, 37, 69-96.
6. Daniels, R. E. (1980). Pastoral values among vulnerable peasants: Can the Kipsigis of Kenya keep the home fires burning? In S. Abbott & J. van Willigen (Eds.), *Predicting sociocultural change*. University of Georgia Press.
7. Davison, J. (Ed.). (1988). *Agriculture, women, and land: The African experience*. Westview Press.
8. Duder, C. J. (1980). The settler response to the Indian crisis of 1923 in Kenya: Brigadier General Philip Wheatley and soldier settlement. *Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*, 8(3), 349-371.
9. East African Standard. (1960, July 15). A thousand years of providing comfort. Kenya National Archives BV/128/30.
10. East African Standard. (1960, August 12). Tea development in African areas. Kenya National Archives.
11. Elkins, C. (2005). *Imperial reckoning: The untold story of Britain's gulag in Kenya*. Henry Holt.
12. Heyer, J. (1976). The origins of regional inequalities in smallholder agriculture in Kenya, 1920-1973. *Journal of Development Studies*, 12(3), 292-306.
13. Kanogo, T. (1987). *Squatters and the roots of Mau Mau, 1905-1963*. James Currey.

14. Kenya Land Commission. (1933). Report of the Kenya Land Commission. Crown Agents for the Colonies.
15. Kenya National Archives. (1920). Agricultural Gazetteer: Land tenure in Kipsigis. DC/KER/6/1.
16. Kenya National Archives. (1925). Annual reports, Kericho District. DC/KER/1/2.
17. Kenya National Archives. (1950). Annual report: South Lumbwa District. KER/DC/1/30.
18. Kenya National Archives. (1937). Annual report: Kericho District. DC/KER/1/10.
19. Kenya National Archives. (1950). Political record book. DC/KER/3/18.
20. Kenya National Archives. (1952). Tea development proposals. DC/KER/1/25/1952.
21. Kenya National Archives. (1954). Settlement schemes. DC/KER/1/28/1954.
22. Kenya National Archives. (1954). Kimulot tea scheme. DC/KER/1/30/1954.
23. Kenya National Archives. (1957). African tea development. DC/KER/1/30/1957.
24. Kenya National Archives. (1957). Nyanza Province tea development. NYANZA/2/9/1957.
25. Kinyanjui, K. (1998). Household livelihoods in transition: The case of tea growing families in Kericho. Institute of Policy Analysis and Research.
26. Kitching, G. (1980). Class and economic change in Kenya: The making of an African petite bourgeoisie 1905-1970. Yale University Press.
27. Korir, B. (1976). An economic history of the tea industry in Kenya: 1924-1974 Master's thesis, University of Nairobi.
28. Kosgei, J. S. (1981). Commodity production, tea and social change in Kericho, Kenya 1895-1963 PhD dissertation, Stanford University.
29. Land Ordinance 859 Londiani Allotment File. Kenya National Archives.
30. Mackenzie, F. D. (1991). Political economy of the environment, gender, and resistance under colonialism: Murang'a District, Kenya, 1910-1950. Canadian Journal of African Studies, 25(2), 226-256.
31. North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
32. Okoth-Ogendo, H. W. O. (1991). Tenants of the crown: Evolution of agrarian law in Kenya. ACTS Press.
33. Omwoyo, S. M. (2000). The agricultural changes in the Kipsigis land c. 1894-1963: An historical inquiry PhD dissertation, Kenyatta University.
34. Rodney, W. (1972). How Europe underdeveloped Africa. Bogle-L'Ouverture Publications.
35. Sorensen, A. (1988). Consequences and potentials of contract farming for women: The case of subsistence tea production among the Kipsigis in Kenya. CDR Working Papers, 88(2).

- 36.** Sorrenson, M. P. K. (1967). Land reform in the Kikuyu country: A study in government policy. Oxford University Press.
- 37.** Stichter, S. (1982). Migrant labour in Kenya: Capitalism and African response 1895-1975. Longman.
- 38.** Swynnerton, R. J. M. (1954). A plan to intensify the development of African agriculture in Kenya. Government Printer.
- 39.** Talbott, J. E. (1992). Settlers, subsistence, and smallholders: Agricultural development in Kenya, 1905-1940. *International Journal of African Historical Studies*, 25(3), 545-572.
- 40.** Towett, T. (1979). Oral history of the Kipsigis. Kenya Literature Bureau.
- 41.** Van Zwanenberg, R. M. A. (1975). Colonial capitalism and labour in Kenya 1919-1939. East Africa Literature Bureau.
- 42.** Von Bülow, D., & Sørensen, A. (1993). Gender and contract farming: Tea outgrower schemes in Kenya. *Review of African Political Economy*, 20(56), 38-52.
- 43.** Wolff, R. D. (1974). Britain and Kenya 1870-1930: The economics of colonialism. Transafrica Publishers.